

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,456	01/05/2001	Frederic Delbac	1566-00	5009
7	590 01/29/2002			
IP Department			EXAMINER	
Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis 36th Floor 1600 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103			FIELDS, IESHA P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• • • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/755,456	DELBAC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI 444 NO DATE (4)	lesha P Fields	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 '					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0.00-1-1-1-1-1-1-0/6						

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a protein, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claim 13, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9
- III. Claims 14-17, and 31 drawn to a process for diagnosing infection, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- IV. Claim 18 and 32, drawn to a kit, classified in class 435, subclass 975.
- V. Claims 19-27,30, drawn to DNA, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- VI. Claims 28-29, drawn to a process for the production of a protein, classified in class 435, subclass 91.4.
- VII. Claims 33-34, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention recited in Group III.

If Group III is elected, the applicant should elect either the process for diagnosing infection recited in claims 14-17 or the process for diagnosing infection recited in claim 31.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Art Unit: 1645

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

In addition Groups I-VII are further restricted according to MPEP 803.04 which recites that nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. Applicants are required to elect a single nucleotide sequence or a single protein sequence for examination.

Art Unit: 1645

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-III and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the protein could be used in the different ways as claimed.

Invention IV drawn to DNA is distinct from Inventions I-III and V-VII, since it is a product with a different structure and biological properties. For example, the nucleic acid molecule consists of nucleotides whereas the protein is made of amino acids. To make the nucleic acid, additional biological reagents and parameters are required.

Inventions V and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the protein can be made by Merrifield chemical synthesis or affinity chromatography.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their separate classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1645

Page 5

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to lesha Fields whose telephone number is (703) 306-3225.

lesha Fields

January 22, 2002

MARK NAVARRO PRIMARY EXAMINER